

[17th November 1922]

- (4) The capital and recurring charges on the farm have been as follows :—

	Capital charges.				Recurring charges.		
	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	A.	P.
Cost of land	28,799	9	9				
1919-20 ..	20,235	7	4	1919-20 ..	4,569	6	6
1920-21 ..	12,361	0	0	1920-21 ..	5,381	11	4
1921-22 ..	5,337	10	5	1921-22 ..	7,060	10	3
Total ..	66,733	11	6	Total ..	17,011	12	1

*Report on the amalgamation of Oriya-speaking tracts.*

512 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased—

(1) to state whether the report called for by the Government of India with regard to the amalgamation of the Oriya-speaking tracts under one administration, has been received; and,

(2) if so, to place the same on the table?

A.—The hon. Member is referred to G.O. No. 103, Public, dated 4th February 1922, which was placed on the Editors' Table.

*Interpretation of rules regarding travelling allowances.*

513 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Government have recently passed an order that for the purpose of calculating the travelling allowances of an officer on transfer an adopted son shall not be reckoned as one of the officer's family; and

(2) whether the Government intend to remove the anomaly by revising the order?

A.—The answer to both parts of the question is in the affirmative.

*Teachers in the training section of training schools.*

514 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Government have recently passed orders placing teachers, hitherto in the cadre of supervisors and working in the training section of training schools for masters, in the cadre of certificated teachers of secondary grade;

(2) whether it is a fact that such teachers have not been given the privilege of drawing a local allowance like teachers of the model section of such institutions;

(3) whether it is a fact that the Director of Public Instruction recommended to the Government the payment of salaries at higher rates to such teachers and, if so, what the rate recommended was;

(4) whether it is a fact that the Government pleaded inability to accept the Director's recommendation; and

(5) if so the reasons that led to the non-acceptance of the said recommendation?

17th November 1922]

A.—(1) The answer is in the affirmative.

(2) Yes. The hon. Member is, however, informed that local allowances have not been sanctioned in the case of teachers in the model sections of *all* training schools, but only in the case of those in schools in certain selected areas. The question whether these allowances should be continued or not is under consideration.

(3) Yes. The Director of Public Instruction recommended for teachers in training schools who were hitherto in the cadre of supervisors the same scale as that recommended by him for certificated secondary grade teachers, viz., Rs. 40—40—2—60—1—70.

(4) & (5) The Government sanctioned for certificated secondary grade teachers a scale of Rs. 35—35—1½—50—1—60. The same scale was adopted for the teachers in training schools referred to.

*Certificates of poverty given to students by members of the Legislative Council.*

515 Q.—SRIMAN SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased—

(1) to give a list of persons who are authorized to grant certificates of poverty to students for the purpose of half-fee concession to students of backward classes; and

(2) to state whether it is a fact that certificates of poverty granted by members of the Legislative Council have been disregarded by certain presidents of district boards?

A.—(1) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to notification No. 63, published at page 260 of Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 14th March 1922.

(2) The Government have no information.

*Indianization of services.*

516 Q.—MR. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR: Will the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government intend to give effect to the resolution of this Council passed in September last that at least one Conservator of Forests and one member of the Board of Revenue should be Indians, and, if so, when?

A.—The resolution will be borne in mind.

II

COMMUNICATION TO THE COUNCIL.

The SECRETARY laid on the table the proceedings of the eleventh meeting for 1922-23 of the Standing Finance Committee of the Madras Legislative Council held on Saturday the 11th November 1922\*.